Belief and Locus of Control (LOC) in a large birth cohort study: initial observations and research priorities.

Yasmin Iles-Caven, Senior Research Associate, University of Bristol Medical School, UK cdylic@bristol.ac.uk

Funders: John Templeton Foundation, Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, University of Bristol.

What is Locus of Control (LOC)?

LOC of reinforcement is a construct measured on a continuum (Rotter, 1966) where the more individuals perceive connections between their behavior and outcomes the more "internal" they are, in contrast to "externals" who view their outcomes as being determined by fate/chance/powerful others. Internals are more likely to succeed in every aspect of life, adhere to clinical instructions and, on average, live for 8 years longer than Externals. The lower the LOC score – the more internal.

Previous (mainly small cross-sectional) research on Religious/Spiritual Beliefs & Behaviours (**RSBB**) and health, and LOC and health has shown both to be associated with positive physical and mental health outcomes, but much is contradictory.

Aims: To elucidate the complex mechanisms between RSBB and LOC and how these influence aspects of health and well-being over the lifespan.

ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents & Children)

14541 pregnant women delivering 1991-1992 were enrolled (www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac). To determine environmental and genetic factors associated with the development, health, and wellbeing of the resulting offspring. Intensive follow-up via self-completion questionnaires, hands-on assessments, record linkage and biological samples to mothers, partners and offspring. LOC scale administered to parents at 4 and to offspring at 3 time points. Data on health/mental health/development collected throughout.

• LOC scores at each time point

Individual	Time-point	N	mean	SD
Mother	Pregnancy	12604	4.37	2.11
	+ 6 years	8633	3.83	1.99
	+ 18 years	3758	3.48	2.01
	+ 28 years	4413	3.75	1.99
Father	Pregnancy	8738	3.60	2.30
	+ 6 years	4507	3.28	2.06
	+ 20 years	1855	2.83	1.86
	+ 28 years	2071	3.10	1.90
Offspring	Age 8 years	6374	5.99	2.08
	Age 16 years	4770	3.20	2.12
	Age ~ 30 years	4321	3.25	2.15

SD = *standard deviation*

RSBB questions administered to both parents at various time points and to offspring at ~30yrs.

Beliefs at each time point, where data for the questions are available.

Question	Antenatal	5 years after	9 years after	2020
Do you believe in	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
God/some divine power?				
MOTHERS				
Yes	6160 (49.9%)	4141 (46.5%)	3776 (48.2%)	2016 (43.6%)
Not sure	4353 (35.2%)	3018 (33.9%)	2682 (34.3%)	1231 (26.6%)
No	1838 (14.9%)	1745 (19.6%)	1369 (17.5%)	1380 (29.8%)
PARTNERS				<u> </u>
Yes	3621 (37.0%)	1505 (33.6%)	1275 (35.3%)	648 (30.0%)
Not sure	3376 (34.5%)	1573 (35.1%)	1183 (32.8%)	531 (24.6%)
No	2801 (28.6%)	1406 (31.4%)	1149 (31.9%)	978 (45.3%)
OFFSPRING				
Yes	-	-	-	756 (16.9%)
Not sure	-	-	-	1197 (26.8%)
No	-	-	-	2508 (56.2%)

Initial observations and research priorities:

Internal women (vs Internal men) were significantly higher in most indicators of RSBB (P<0.001).

- Internal women (81.5%) more likely to retain their belief between delivery & 6y later than External women (77.3%)
- Internals were more likely than externals to believe, to attend places of worship, to obtain assistance from members of their own faith and other faiths.
- Internals were more likely to be non-Christian (in this predominantly Christian population)

A further sweep of parents and offspring planned for 2021-2022 will collect data on mediators, moderators, confounders, and health outcomes. Detailed analyses are planned (over the next 5 years) to examine the complex interplay between LOC, religious (intrinsic/extrinsic) belief, spirituality, and physical/mental health outcomes over the lifespan of the offspring (now aged 30y) and their parents (female 45-77y; male 47-85y).

Refs:

Rotter JB. Psychol Monog 1966; 80:1–28;

Nowicki S, et al. Front Psychol 2018; 9;

Iles-Caven Y, et al. Front Psychol 2020. Doi. 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01462;

Iles-Caven Y, et al. Wellcome Open Res 2019; 4: 38.